In reply to Office Action of April 4, 2008

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

T. Status of the Claims

Claims 6 and 7 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claims 1 and 8 are amended without the introduction of new matter.

Claims 1-5, 8 and 9 are pending.

II. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by Sudo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,693,913, herein "Sudo"). Claims 2 and 3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Sudo in view of Oda (U.S. Patent No. 5,646,377). Claim 4 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Sudo in view of Morita (U.S. Patent No. 5,128,499). Claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Sudo in view of Watanabe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,567,920, herein "Watanabe"). Claims 6 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Sudo in view of Yoshida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,798,756, herein "Yoshida"). Claims 8 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Sudo in view of Yoshida, and further in view of Kawai (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0086149). The rejection of claims 6 and 7 is moot because the claims are canceled in this Amendment. The Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections of claims 1-5, 8 and 9.

Application No. 10/557,520 Response dated August 4, 2008

In reply to Office Action of April 4, 2008

Amended independent claim 1 is directed to a coordinate input apparatus. According to

amended claim 1, a switching circuit connects the X and Y interconnecting lines to a closed-loop

forming circuit in a coordinate detection drive mode. The closed-loop forming circuit switchably

connects a predetermined number of the X interconnecting lines or a predetermined number of

the Y interconnecting lines to form a closed loop in the coordinate detection drive mode. In

addition, the closed loop according to amended claim 1 is a multiple closed loop.

Sudo describes a position detection apparatus using a loop circuit. Specifically, Sudo

describes an example of a multiple loop as shown in FIG. 7. Oda describes a point detecting device transmitting signals from both ends of the loop coil arrangement towards the pointing

device, and/or receives at both ends the signals generated by the electromagnetic function

between the loop coil arrangement and the pointing device. Morita describes loops that are

embedded in each other as shown in FIG. 1. Watanabe describes a position detection apparatus

in which a multiple loop is formed as shown in FIG. 18. Yoshida describes that X and Y

electrodes of a liquid crystal display are utilized as electrodes of a position detection apparatus

by a selector switch. Kawai is merely cited as teaching at page 1, paragraph [0003], an

electrophoretic display having a memory characteristic.²

However, even if the teachings of the cited references are combined, the combined

teachings do not disclose, teach or suggest the closed-loop forming circuit as recited in amended

claim 1. For example, even if the position detection apparatuses of Sudo and Watanabe, and the

selector switch of Yoshida, are combined to have a coordinate input apparatus, the closed-loop

forming circuit as recited in amended claim 1 is still not present in such a coordinate input

1 See, for example, the Abstract of Oda.

² See the outstanding Office Action at page 9, lines 1 and 2.

-6-

1156937 v1

Docket No. 1232-5791

Application No. 10/557,520 Response dated August 4, 2008

In reply to Office Action of April 4, 2008

apparatus. None of the cited references discloses, teaches or suggests the closed loop forming

circuit as recited in amended claim 1.

Therefore, amended claim 1 and claims dependent therefrom are patentably

distinguishable over the cited references, either taken individually or in combination.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1-5, 8

and 9.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments, the Applicants believe the pending application is in

condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this

application to issue.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone

number indicated below if the Examiner believes any issue can be resolved through either a

Supplemental Response or an Examiner's Amendment.

-7-

1156937 v1

AUTHORIZATION

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required for the timely consideration of this Amendment, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-4500, Order No. 1232-5791.

Dated: August 4, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

By____/ Hiroyuki Yasuda

Registration No.: 55,751

Morgan & Finnegan, L.L.P. (Customer No. 27123) 3 World Financial Center

New York, NY 10281-2101

(212) 415-8747

(212) 415-8701 Facsimile

Attorneys/Agents For Applicants